

Committee Advice on the safety of Dried Miracle Berry (*Synsepalum dulcificum*) as a novel food

Reference number RP1351

Food Standards Agency (FSA) and Food Standards Scotland (FSS)

Regulated Product Dossier Assessment

Assessment finalised: February 2025

Summary

An application was submitted to the Food Standards Agency (FSA) and Food Standards Scotland (FSS) in November 2021 from Baïa Food Co. (“the applicant”) for the authorisation for Dried Miracle Berry (DMB) as a novel food.

The novel food is produced from drying the pitted fruits (pulp and skin) of *Synsepalum dulcificum*. The dried mass is then milled into a powder. This new application is seeking to use the novel food as a functional food supplement to modify the taste of sour foods due to the presence of miraculin. The target population is the general population excluding pregnant and lactating women and children.

To support the Food Standards Agency (FSA) and Food Standard Scotland (FSS) in their evaluation of the application, the Advisory Committee on Novel Foods and Processes (ACNFP) were asked to review the safety dossier and supplementary information provided by the applicant. The Committee did not consider any potential health benefits or claims arising from consuming the food, as the focus of the novel food assessment is to ensure the food is safe and not putting consumers at a nutritional disadvantage.

The Committee advised that the applicant had provided sufficient information to assure that the novel food, Dried Miracle Berry (DMB), was safe under the

proposed conditions of use. The anticipated intake level and the proposed use in food supplements was considered not to be nutritionally disadvantageous.

1. Introduction

1. The ACNFP assessed the food safety risks of Dried Miracle Berry (DMB) under the proposed conditions of use and its production, in accordance with Article 7 of assimilated Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2469. The regulatory framework and the retained technical guidance (published by EFSA for full novel food applications) formed the basis and structure for the assessment (EFSA NDA Panel, 2016).

2. In November 2021, Baïa Food Co. (“the applicant”) submitted a full novel food application for the authorisation of Dried Miracle Berry. The novel food is produced by drying the pitted fruit of the *Synsepalum dulcificum* species, with the intended use as a food supplement. The target population is the general population excluding pregnant and lactating women and children.

3. Following the review by the ACNFP in April and November 2023 as well as in September 2024, further information was sought from the applicant on production process composition and specifications, ADME (Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism & Excretion), nutrition and allergenicity. The final advice from the Committee was agreed in February 2025, allowing the FSA and FSS to complete the risk assessment.

4. This Committee Advice Document (CAD) outlines the conclusions of the ACNFP on the safety of Dried Miracle Berry (DMB) as a novel food.

2. Assessment

2.1 Identity of novel food

5. The novel food is fruit from the miracle fruit shrub *Synsepalum dulcificum* and is a member of the Sapotaceae family. The applicant refers to this novel food as Dried Miracle Berry, hereafter referred to DMB. *Synsepalum dulcificum* is native to the tropical forest regions of West Africa. The novel food under this application is farmed in Ghana and consists of fruits from *S. dulcificum* that are pitted and then dried whole (pulp with skin).

6. The main constituent that acts as a taste modifier is miraculin, a protein consisting of amino acids belonging to the Kunitz-type soybean trypsin inhibitor

family. It is noted that this trypsin inhibitor has lost its activity in the novel food (Takai et al., 2013). It has a molecular weight of 24.6 kDa of which up to 13.9% is represented by sugar moieties, including glucosamine (31%), mannose (30%), fucose (22%), xylose (10%) and galactose (7%) (Theerasilp et al., 1989).

7. Presence of miraculin was established from in-house analysis. The information supplied indicated that the NMR based method could quantify the compound with levels ranging from 1.7% - 2.1% of the total weight of the novel food. The conclusion reached was that while the method was qualitative, its ability to quantify miraculin had not been demonstrated. Sensory studies were also undertaken to investigate the effectiveness of miraculin's taste modifying capacity on unsweetened sour foods.

8. The identity of the novel food was considered to be appropriately characterised.

2.2 Production Process

9. The pitted fruit of *S. dulcificum* is the main raw ingredient in DMB's production. The processing is carried out under controlled environmental conditions and within the principles of HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points).

10. The fruits are manually harvested in Ghana from mature cultivated plants, sorted and cooled to be sent for processing. They are then sorted again and rinsed in tap water. The free chlorine level of the water is tested to ensure that chlorate residues are kept as low as practically possible. These were analysed in the novel food with amounts up to 0.046 mg/kg. This is not above the maximum residue level for berries and small fruits of 0.05mg/kg (EC, 2020). The fruits then undergo mechanical pitting (removing the stone).

11. The pitted fruits are blended into a puree whole, with skin on, together with anti-caking agents. This puree is then dried by lyophilisation, a method aimed at reducing the moisture to levels of around 1%-2% without significantly changing heat sensitive constituents, namely miraculin. The resulting dry 'cake' is milled to a powder, packaged then stored.

12. Members queried on controls in the production process and how this impacted on variability of the final product especially on mycotoxin levels. The main source of variability was identified as the impact of different growing seasons and climate conditions. Evidence was presented showing mycotoxins were not detected and microbiological parameters were below maximum permitted levels, providing assurance that factors impacting hazards were identified and managed

in the food safety management system.

13. The production process has characterised the potential hazards and it was concluded that the corresponding control measures are appropriate and did not raise safety concerns.

2.3 Compositional Information

14. To verify the compositional analysis provided was accurate and reliable, certification was provided to demonstrate that the laboratories were accredited to perform these analytical studies. Where in-house analysis was utilised, full methodology and supporting validation documentation were provided.

15. Results from analysis of five independent batches of the novel food demonstrated that the novel food is produced consistently. Table 1 summarises proximate analysis for DMB.

Table 1. Proximate analysis of the novel food.

| Parameter | Method | Specification | Lot 1 | Lot 2 | Lot 3 | Lot 4 | Lot 5 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Moisture (g/100g) | Gravimetric | 6 g/100 g | 2.57 | 5.27 | 3.73 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.39 |
| Ash (g/100g) | Gravimetric | 3.5 - 8.5g /100 g | 3.7 | 3.53 | 4.23 | 5.00 | 5.4 | 4.37 |
| Total carbohydrate (g/100g) | Calculation | 70 - 87g/100 g | 86.3 | 81.0 | 77.7 | 79.4 | 80.6 | 81.0 |
| Sugars (g/100g) | Ion chromatography/ Pulsed amperometry | 50 - 75g/100 g | 72.5 | 66 | 64.9 | 56.5 | 67.2 | 65.4 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| Total protein (g/100g) | Kjeldahl | 3.5 – 6.0g /100 g | 5.03 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 5.13 |
| Total Fat (g/100g) | Gravimetric | 0.5 – 3.5 | 2.47 | 3.2 | 2.73 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 0.5-3.2 |
| Saturated fatty acids (g/100g) | GC-FID | - | 1.1 | 1.33 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.1-1.33 |
| Sodium Chloride (g/100g) | ICP-MS | 0.01-0.06 g/110g | 0.029 | 0.021 | 0.036 | 0.043 | 0.037 | 0.033 |
| Fibre (g/100g) | Gravimetric | 1 – 6.5g/100g | - | 1.9 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 3.85 |
| Energy (kJ/100g) | Calculation | 1500-1600kJ/100g | 1619 | 1597 | 1569 | 1481 | 1531 | 1559 |
| Energy (kcal/100g) | Calculation | 350-390 kcal/100g | 387 | 377 | 371 | 349 | 361 | 369 |

GC-FID: gas chromatography with flame-ionisation detection

ICP-MS: inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry.

16. Three batches of the novel food were analysed to determine the total polyphenolic content using spectrophotometry and data provided in the original application. Following a request for the classes of the polyphenols present, further information on the concentration of the phenolic compounds according to the extraction method, in comparison with those from reference foods were provided (Table 2).

17. The main phenolic compounds that were present in the novel food were identified by HPLC. The concentrations were found to be consistent with similar foods. It was also argued that the proposed use for the novel food is small at 0.9 g/day hence, the ingested amount of these compounds is lower in comparison to other consumed foods rich in phenolic compounds.

Table 2. Phenolic concentration in the novel food in comparison to reference foods.

| Compound | Aqueous extraction. Concentration (µg/g DW) in Novel Food. | Low ethanol. Concentration (µg/g DW) in Novel Food. | Low aqueous. Concentration (µg/g DW) in Novel Food. | Ethanol. Concentration (µg/g DW) in Novel Food. | Reference foods. Examples |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Gallic acid | 174 | 54.5 | 42.8 | 37.7 | Date, blackberry, cloudberries, grapefruit, banana |
| Protocatechuic acid | 771 | 570.7 | 386.6 | 119.5 | Chicory, eggplant, onion, almond, grapefruit, date, apple, kiwi, pomegranate |

| | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|------|---|
| Catechin | 145 | 70.8 | 70 | 25.4 | Cocoa powder, blackberry, raspberry, strawberry, apricot, peach, apple, banana, pomegranate |
| Caffeic acid | 0 | 3.75 | 2.5 | 0 | Plum, dried cranberry, grapefruit, peach, apple, pear |
| Syringic acid | 2.17 | 40.1 | 22.2 | 12.1 | Date, apple, grape, cauliflower, walnut |
| Rutin (Quercetin 3-O-rutinoside) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | Plum, blackberry, raspberry, apricot, apple, pear, tomato, lettuce |
| Vanillin | 0.36 | 6.9 | 2.16 | 3.2 | Cocoa powder |

| | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|------|---|
| Coumaric acid | 9.49 | 12.7 | 9.6 | 5.58 | Plum, d cranber strawbe grapefr apple, p |
| Ferulic acid | 4.3 | 7.49 | 5.73 | 2.42 | Date, cranber grapefr apple, eggplan olive |
| Salicylic acid (4- Hydroxybenzoic acid) | 2.7 | 5.26 | 3.4 | 3.8 | Cranber grapefr date, lo |
| Quercetin | 2.92 | 8.17 | 8.36 | 6.7 | Bilberry elderbe cranber raspber apple, c |
| Cinnamic acid | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.38 | 0 | Cranber strawbe orange, |

18. An inhouse method for quantification of miraculin based on proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) analysis was presented in the dossier. Further information was sought on the choice of method selected. The justification provided indicated that this method demonstrated to be reproducible for the quantification of miraculin as there was no other known method for miraculin quantification previously standardized for food analysis. The further information provided evidenced the specificity of the method for miraculin. However, there was a lack of information, following several requests, on how it was assured that miraculin could be accurately quantified to give confidence in the quality of the

analysis. It was noted that the glycosylation pattern of miraculin would impact the accuracy of the information. From the data supplied the quantification of miraculin could not be confirmed but would be expected to be low given the proposed use level is 0.9 g/day.

19. Heavy metal levels were analysed and compared to established EU limits (Table 3). The method of analysis was inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). All analyses were below EU limits.

Table 3. Heavy metals analysis for the novel food.

| Parameter | Lot 1 | Lot 2 | Lot. 3 | Lot 4 | Lot 5 | Mean |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Arsenic (mg/kg) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Cadmium (mg/kg) | 0.069 | 0.063 | 0.14 | 0.088 | 0.070 | 0.086 |
| Lead (mg/kg) | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Mercury (mg/kg) | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |

20. A Certificate of Analysis provided for a wide range of pesticides as well as for dioxins, furans, and PCBs showed that no residues were detected in the novel food. A summary of the levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) analysed using Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) method and or Ultra-Fast Liquid Chromatography (UFLC) was provided, none were found above 0.71 µg/kg.

21. The applicant originally provided results for microbial and mycotoxins analysis in line with the guidance on preparation of applications. However, they later provided revised data to reflect the improvements in the effectiveness of their production process controls. Results of seven batches provided (Table 4) showed that microbial contamination was appropriately managed and that controls were working effectively.

Table 4. Microbial analysis of the novel food.

| Species | Specification in cfu/g | Lot | Lot | Lot | Lot | Lot | Lot | Lot |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| | | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB | EB |
| | | 042822 | 060722 | 172522 | 191322 | 490922 | 501222 | 04 |
| <i>Bacillus cereus</i> | 100 | 10 | 10 | 10* | 40 | 10.0 | 10 | 40 |
| <i>Clostridium Perfringens</i> | ≤ 50 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Total <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> | 100 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Yeasts and moulds | 500 | 100 | 400 | 55 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 10 |
| <i>Staphylococcus Coagulase +</i> | 100 | NA | NA | 10 | 10 | NA | NA | NA |
| <i>E. coli s glucuronidase +</i> | 10 | NA | NA | 10 | 10 | NA | NA | NA |
| Faecal Coliforms | 100 | NA | NA | 10 | 10 | NA | NA | NA |
| Mycotoxins | Absence | ND | ND | NA | NA | NA | NA | ND |

*Result of the counter analysis present in the COA included in Annex 2.

ND = Not detected.

NA = Not analysed.

22. The presence of mycotoxins was measured in three batches of the novel food (Table 5) and were all under the limit of quantification of the method used (Ultra-

Fast Liquid Chromatography) and below EC safety standards.

Table 5. Mycotoxin analysis for of the novel food.

| Mycotoxins (µg/kg) | LoQ | Lot EB | Lot EB | Lot EB |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | 042822 | 060722 | 040323 |
| Aflatoxin B1 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Aflatoxin B2 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Aflatoxin G1 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Aflatoxin G2 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Aflatoxin B1+B2+G1+G2 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Fumonisin B1 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Fumonisin B2 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Fumonisins B1+ B2 | 25 | ND | ND | ND |
| Ochratoxin A | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| Zearalenone | 10 | ND | ND | ND |
| Deoxynivalenol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| Diacetoxyscirpenol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| T2 Toxins (Σ T2, HT2) | 50 | ND | ND | ND |

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----|----|----|----|
| Toxin HT2 | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| Toxin T2 | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| Monoacetoxyscirpenol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| Neosolaniol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| T2-triol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| 15-Acetyl Deoxynivalenol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| 3-Acetyl Deoxynivalenol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| Deoxynivalenol 3-glucoside | 100 | ND | ND | ND |
| Fusarenon X | 50 | ND | ND | ND |
| Nivalenol | 50 | ND | ND | ND |

23. The data presented indicated the novel food can be produced consistently. No additional hazards to be explored further or included in the specification were identified.

2.4 Stability

24. Stability tests on micro-organisms, sensory, moisture and pH were carried out on one batch of the novel food under representative storage conditions (20°C, relative humidity 50%) for a period of two years. Overall, the novel food remained within the specified range and supported the proposed 24-month shelf life. To ensure reproducibility in the stability of the novel food, further tests on four batches were carried out with results demonstrating consistency with the first batch and in agreement with the 24-month shelf life proposed.

25. Stability test on the novel food to temperature (-80°C, -20°C, 4°C, 20°C, 37°C and 60°C) and pH (2-20) were also conducted to determine the conditions at which miraculin (the taste modifying molecule) was denatured and inactivated. The test was undertaken using volunteers who tasted the novel food before and after various temperatures and pH levels. Results indicated that miraculin seemed to lose most of its taste modifying activity at 60°C but stayed stable and active at all the pH levels tested.

26. It was concluded the data provided did not raise safety concerns for the stability of the novel food within the period of 24 months and storage conditions proposed.

2.5 Specification

27. The specification parameters for the novel food were provided and indicated in Table 6.

Table 6: Specification for DMB.

| Parameter | Composition |
|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Carbohydrates | 70 - 87 g/100 g |
| Sugars | 50 - 75 g/100 g |
| Fat | 0.5 - 3.5 g/100g |
| Saturated fatty acids | 0.75 - 1.75 g/100g |
| Fibre | 1- 6.5 g/100 g |
| Protein | 3.5 - 6 g/100 g |
| Salt | 0.01 - 0.06 g/100g |
| Miraculin | 1.5 - 2.75 g/100g |

Microbiological criteria. (CFU/g).

Total aerobic colony count. $\leq 1 \times 10^4$ cfu/g

Bacillus cereus (presumptive) 100 cfu/g

Clostridium perfringens ≤ 50 cfu/g

Yeasts and Moulds 500 cfu/g

Staphylococcus coagulase+ 100 cfu/g

E. coli glucuronidase + 10 cfu/g

Mycotoxins Absent

Pesticide levels: in accordance with Code number 0820990 ('others' in the group of fruit spices) set out in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005.

28. Due to the variability reflected in the data between the composition analysis and the specification, further explanation was requested for this variability. The applicant highlighted that this was a whole fruit powder and as such, the novel food would be influenced by external factors such as climate and soil, and the abundance or presence of water affecting the concentration ranges. This suggests the proposed specification captures the variability in the novel food and is consistent with that applied by other regulators.

29. The ACNFP concluded the information provided is sufficient for the specification of DMB and appropriately characterises the novel food.

2.6 History of Use

30. Miracle fruit came to the attention of Europeans in the eighteenth century where Auguste Chevalier des Marchais described the fruit of a shrub that had the property to soften what is acidic (Labat, 1725-1730). Chavalier also indicated the fruit was cultivated by natives of Nigeria and Benin. The first thorough description was written by a British surgeon, F.W. Daniell (Daniell, 1852) noting that West Africans consumed it before eating a number of acidic native foods.

31. *Synsepalum dulcificum* is currently cultivated in Taiwan, China, USA, Ecuador, Colombia and Puerto Rico. It is permitted for sale in a number of countries including the US and Japan, a safety assessment was not conducted before it was placed on sale.

2.7 Proposed Use and Anticipated Intake

32. The target population proposed is the adult population. Pregnant and lactating women and children are excluded due to there not being enough data to support their safe assessment.

33. The novel food is to be consumed in food supplements (as defined in The Food Supplements (England) Regulations 2003 and equivalent legislation in the nations of GB) in development of oral formulations such as powders, granules, orally dissolving tablets, lozenges, liquids and semisolid dosage forms such as gels as an ingredient or as a direct supplement of the dried, powdered fruit. The intention of the supplement is to enhance/modify taste. DMB is proposed at a maximum level of 0.9 g/day (15 mg/kg body weight/day) distributed in 3 servings (0.1g - 0.3g per serving) per day before meals.

34. No other source has been considered for combined intake. There are no precautions or restrictions applied apart from requirements under the GB supplements regulations, for labelling for consumer information on the proposed population for consumption.

35. Review of the information provided on exposure from the proposed uses and use levels did not raise concern.

2.8 Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME)

36. The fate of the main constituents of DMB (carbohydrates, ash, protein and micronutrients) are well established in the body. An in vitro study (discussed further below) to analyse the digestibility of miraculin, the glycoprotein that represents 2% of DMB was carried out (Menéndez -Rey, 2018) [Unpublished].

2.9 Nutritional information

37. The nutritional analysis of the novel food was provided. It consists of approximately 70%- 90% carbohydrates, 5%-6% protein, 3%-6% ash. The novel food is not intended to replace any existing food. It is intended to enhance sweetness of certain foods (those that are acidic) as a result of the presence of

miraculin in DMB.

38. It was noted that the miraculin present in the novel food has been identified as a Kunitz trypsin inhibitor which are known to be stable and resistant to digestion. Two tests were conducted to assess the stability of the proteins in the novel food to digestion i) a simulated gastric fluid (SGF) pepsin resistance test and (ii) simulated intestinal fluid trypsin resistance test were undertaken on the total protein content of the berry (Menéndez -Rey, 2018) [Unpublished].

39. The first test explored the stability of DMB when incubated with a range of enzymes found in the digestive tract. The results were visualised using SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) and western blotting using a specific polyclonal antibody raised to miraculin. Reassurance was received that the method was detecting the stability of the miraculin protein and the appropriateness of the testing strategy applied. The results indicated that miraculin remained stable with a miraculin band appearing on the gel after enzymic treatment with deoxyribonuclease, ribonuclease, lactase, α -amylase, peptidase D and carboxypeptidase M.

40. The second experiment was conducted to explore the impact of trypsin and chymotrypsin on miraculin. The results of the test were visualised using the specific antibody. Miraculin showed signs of degradation as seen by a reduction in intensity of the miraculin band on the gel after incubation with endopeptidases pepsin and trypsin in line with the natural protein catabolism during human food digestion.

41. Following concerns raised on whether miraculin has the potential to act as an antinutritional factor by inhibiting human pancreatic proteases trypsin and chymotrypsin, assays to evaluate the trypsin inhibitor content in 3 different non-consecutive batches of the novel food were conducted. The test was conducted in line with the protocol described in Welham and Domoney, 2000. Trypsin inhibitors were extracted and quantified. Trypsin inhibitor activity was identified to be between 0.80 and 0.97 TIU/mg on a dry weight basis. This is comparatively lower than for other commonly consumed foods such as roasted peanuts with a trypsin inhibitor activity of 1.28 TIU /mg of sample (Pedrosa, 2021) [Unpublished].

42. It was concluded that trypsin inhibitor action of the novel food is comparatively low in relation to other commonly consumed food sources suggesting that consumption of this food is unlikely to exert significant inhibitory effects on human pancreatic proteases trypsin and chymotrypsin. It was noted that the digestion assays provided confirmatory evidence that miraculin present in the novel food is stable but may be digested by trypsin. The Committee

concluded that the novel food and its components are unlikely to act as an antinutritional factor.

43. Based on this information, the consumption of the novel food is not expected to be nutritionally disadvantageous for consumers under the proposed conditions of use.

2.10 Toxicological information

2.10.1 Genotoxicity

44. *In vitro* and *in vivo* genotoxicity testing of the novel food was conducted under Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) conditions and utilised the following OECD guidelines: two *in vitro* bacterial reverse mutation test (OECD TG 471), *in vitro* mammalian cell micronucleus test (OECD TG 487) and an *in vivo* rat erythrocyte micronucleus test (OECD TG 474). The guidelines used are recommended by the UK Committee on Mutagenicity and is also the basis of guidance on the preparation and submission of an application for authorisation of a novel food in the context of assimilated Regulation (EU) 2015/2283.

45. The first bacterial reverse mutation test (CEROTOX, 2018a) was performed in accordance with OECD TG 471 and in compliance with GLP. The novel food was tested using *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1535 and TA1537. The assay was conducted in three phases: a preliminary cytotoxicity test at dose levels of 5000, 1581, 500, 158, and 50 µg/plate, a mutagenicity main test and a confirmatory test at dose levels 5000, 2500, 1250, 625 and 312.5 µg/plate in the absence and presence of a metabolic activation system. Sterile water was used as a negative control and sodium azide (without S9) and 2-aminoanthracene (2-AA) (with S9) as positive controls. The overall assessment together with the biological significance of the results and the lack of a dose-response in all treatment concluded the novel food was considered not mutagenic.

46. It was explained that a second assay was performed as it was noted that in the first test results for one strain TA102 (both with and without S9) in the main and confirmatory test were out of the range of historical control data as well as issues with contamination leading confirmatory tests to be discarded. This suggested issues with method impacted interpretation of the test. As such the testing was done again using a preincubation method.

47. The second bacterial reverse mutation test (Charles River, 2020a) was performed in accordance with OECD TG 471 and compliant with Good Laboratory Practice (GLP). The novel food was tested using *Salmonella typhimurium* strains

TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1535 and TA1537 in the absence and presence of S9-mix at concentrations of up to 5000 µg/plate. A negative control of 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose sodium salt (CMC) was used. The novel food did not induce a significant dose-related increase in the number of revertant colonies in each of the five strains both in the absence and presence of S9-metabolic activation.

48. An *in vitro* mammalian cell micronucleus test on mouse lymphoma L5178Y TK+/- 3.7.2C cells was conducted (Charles River, 2020b) in accordance with OECD TG 487 and in compliance with GLP. A 3-hour treatment with S9-mix and a 3-hour and 24-hour treatments without S9-mix were performed with concentrations of 31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, 500 and 1,000 µg/mL of the novel food, and a negative control of 0.5% CMC. No cytotoxicity was observed after any treatment and none of the treatment concentrations caused a biologically or statistically significant increase in the number of micronucleated cells when compared to the negative control values.

49. The *in vivo* study rat erythrocyte micronucleus test (CERETOX, 2018b) [Unpublished] was conducted in accordance with OECD TG 474 (Mammalian Erythrocyte Micronucleus Test) and in compliance with GLP. The novel food was administered via oral gavage to 5 male Wistar rats at a dose of 2,000 mg/kg bw, twice, 24 hours apart. A negative control of 0.5% carboxymethylcellulose sodium salt (CMC) was used. There were no statistically significant increases in the number of cells with micronuclei observed compared to the negative control.

50. Based on the results of the *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies provided by the applicant and summarised in this document the novel food is not expected to be genotoxic.

2.10.2 Acute Toxicology

51. An acute oral toxicity study in rats was conducted in accordance with OECD TG 425 in a study design following an Up-and-Down procedure (CERETOX, 2018c) [Unpublished]. Three female Wistar rats were orally administered with the novel food of up to 5,000 mg/kg bw in a solvent carrier. No adverse effects were observed. The Committee however, notes that acute toxicity studies are not pertinent for the safety assessment of novel foods and as such were not taken into account in the assessment.

2.10.3 Sub-chronic Toxicity

52. A 90-day repeated dose toxicity study in male and female Wistar rats was conducted in accordance with OECD TG 408 and in compliance with GLP (CERETOX, 2018d) [Unpublished]. One dose group received the novel food by oral gavage at dose levels of 2000 mg/kg bw per day. The negative control group (0.5% CMC) comprised of 10 rats per sex per group. Additional groups of 5 rats/sex/group receiving 0 (control) or 2,000 mg/kg bw per day were included to assess the reversibility or progression of any test item-related changes after a 14-day recovery period.

53. No systemic clinical signs, behavioural changes, mortality, effects on food and water intake were observed at either control or treated group. There were no test item-related effects in the treatment group in comparison to the control group. The histological examination recorded some findings in the lung tissue. High incidence of foci of alveolar macrophages or foreign body granulomas was noted in control and treated rats, and this was not considered to be treatment related.

54. Further clarification was sought by the Committee on the testing strategy used which explores the effects of one dose of the novel food. It was noted that OECD TG 408 for repeated dose 90-day oral toxicity studies states that if a test at one dose level equivalent to at least 1000 mg/kg bw/day produces no observed adverse effects and toxicity is not expected based on data from structurally related compounds, a full study with three dose levels may not be necessary.

55. The selected dose of 2000 mg/kg bw/day was considered sufficiently higher than the expected dose consumed by humans, hence it was deemed unnecessary by the applicant to use additional animals for the 90-day study with multiple dose levels. However, the Committee noted this limited the conclusions that could be reached on dose response relationships.

2.10.4 Human studies

56. The human data provided was limited to sensory studies examining the acute effects of miraculin on taste (Aguiló Aguayo and Echeverria Cortada, 2018) and (Kurihara and Beidler, 1968; Igarashi *et al.*, 2013; Rodrigues *et al.*, 2016; Tafazoli *et al.*, 2019). While there was no evidence of adverse effects observed from the studies, these were not considered to add to the safety assessment as they were all related to investigating the taste-altering properties of the novel food.

2.11 Allergenicity

57. Allergenic potential for DMB was considered. The applicant used a testing strategy that is different to that in the guidance for novel food applications (EFSA,

2016). The protein content of the novel food is 5%-6% (w/w) of the novel food's composition. When calculated based on the proposed exposure per serving in the food supplement, this is 18mg of protein per serving. If it is assumed all of this was miraculin, this would represent 54mg of miraculin per day being consumed. These levels are higher than those known to cause reactions in sensitive individuals with other food allergies.

58. A literature review was undertaken which indicated that there were no reported food allergic reactions to miracle berry or members of the *Sapotaceae* family to which it belongs. It was noted that also in this family of plants are trees from the genus *Palaquium*, known for their white latex production.

59. Information was also provided on ELISA testing using commercial kits for major allergens including walnut, peanut milk, gluten, almond, soya and egg (Valero, 2018). Detection of casein and peanut were identified using the ELISA method. While this provided information on the potential for cross contamination in the production process and the effectiveness of allergen control, this did not further support the allergenicity assessment. Investigation of sources of contamination in the production chain provided reassurance that the potential for cross contamination was being actively managed.

60. ELISA tests were undertaken to investigate whether miracle berry fruit elicited responses by antibodies generated to Bet v1 a major birch pollen allergen, which show minimal cross reactivity to Bet v homologues. The results indicated that no significant reaction was seen compared to controls. This test did not provide evidence to understand the likelihood of cross reactivity between miracle berry and birch pollen allergens (Naeder, 2023) [Unpublished].

61. As part of the review of allergenicity, queries were raised on the potential for miraculin to be allergenic given that it is a Kunitz family of trypsin inhibitors which is present as 15%-40% of the total protein in the novel food. These proteins have structures which are known to be stable to thermal processing and in-vitro digestion. As this can be a marker for allergenicity, this prompted a need for a fuller review.

62. Analysis of sequence homology between the active protein miraculin and major allergens were undertaken. The amino acid sequence of miraculin was compared to known allergens using a series of databases. The SDAP (Structural Database of Allergenic Proteins) was also used. Cross allergenicity was considered to have been identified if there was 35% of greater homology for an 80 amino acid long sequence, with at least 6 amino acid identity. Homology was found to proteins in soyabean and potato. This was also supported by review with

Allercat pro. Homology was found to Kunitz type trypsin inhibitors in soya bean and potato.

63. Similarly, searches of the miraculin amino acid sequence in BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) revealed that miraculin is a Kunitz trypsin inhibitor with similarity to these types of proteins or their precursors. Analysis was also undertaken using an inhouse database of allergens. The validation information for this database was not provided and while consistent with the other analysis of protein homology, the data was considered confirmatory.

64. The results of the analysis indicate weak homology with Kunitz type trypsin inhibitors in other species. This suggests a very low potential for cross reactivity to soyabean and potato in particular. Cooked potato is a rare cause of food allergy, and soy allergy is not prevalent in the UK (Simpson et al., 2024).

65. While some information is available on the digestibility as described in the nutrition section, this could not be directly applied to the allergenicity assessment.

66. The potential for miraculin to be allergenic could not be ruled out from the data presented. It was noted that there was the potential for de novo sensitisation and if an individual was sensitised, the potential for allergic reactions would remain. The proposed use is for 0.9g of the novel food per day which is lower than other commonly consumed proteins. However, it has not undergone conventional heat processing hence any allergenic activity will remain.

3. Discussion

67. The novel food Dried Miracle Berry is the dried pitted fruit of *Synsepalum dulcificum* with the function of taste modification of acidic fruits.

68. The target population is the adult population, excluding pregnant and lactating mothers and children. The novel food, Dried Miracle Berry, is to be used as an ingredient in oral formulations such as powders, granules, orally dissolving tablets, lozenges, liquids and semisolid dosage forms such as gels or as a supplement of the dried fruit.

69. It is not intended to replace or substitute any existing food. Whilst it may be a source of nutrients, the main dietary role of this food is for people wishing to mask the acidity and enhance the sweetness of certain foods. As such, its intake is not considered to be nutritionally disadvantageous for the consumer.

70. The toxicological *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies showed no sub-chronic effects. An indicative value (a top dose NOEL (no observed adverse effect level) 2000 mg/kg bw/day) was provided based on the highest and only dose in the 90-day study. The proposed daily intake of 0.9 g/day corresponds to 13 mg/kg/day for a 70kg adult with a margin of safety of 154 and 39 mg/kg/day for a 23 kg child with a margin of safety of 51. An uncertainty factor of 100 was applied with consideration that the applicant is excluding pregnant and lactating women and children. The margin of safety was considered acceptable in adults as no adverse events were observed in the clinical trials and sensory analysis published in the literature for the novel food. The applicant's proposed maximum use levels of 0.9 g/day was therefore considered to be acceptable for adults. Given the margin of safety was lower in children the applicant's proposal to exclude this group was considered appropriate.

71. The novel food contains miraculin of below 2.1%, which is the compound responsible for taste enhancement/modification. This compound belongs to the Kunitz-type soybean trypsin inhibitor (STI) family, with antinutritional factor and allergenicity potential. However, assays to evaluate the trypsin inhibitor content suggests this is low in comparison to other commonly consumed food and unlikely to act as an antinutritional factor. Tests for protein digestibility also indicated low likelihood of allergenicity.

4. Conclusions

72. The ACNFP have undertaken the assessment of Dried Miracle Berry *S. dulcificum* from Baïa Food Co. and concluded that the novel food is safe under the proposed conditions of use and does not pose a safety risk to human health. The proposed uses are not considered nutritionally disadvantageous.

These conclusions based on the information in the novel food dossier submitted by the applicant plus the supplementary information and could not have been reached without the following data claimed as proprietary by the applicant:

- Validation method for Miraculin.
- Compositional analysis.
- Toxicological studies (acute toxicity, genotoxicity and sub-chronic toxicity).
- Human studies.
- Allergenicity studies.

With thanks to the members of the ACNFP during the course of the assessment were; Dr Camilla Alexander White, Dr Anton Alldrick, Ms Alison Austin, Dr Mark

Berry, Professor George Bassel, Dr Christine Bosch, Professor Dimitris Charalampopoulos, Dr Meera Cush, Dr Cathrina Edwards, Professor Susan Fairweather-Tait, Dr Antonio Peña-Fernández, Dr Sophie Foley, Professor Paul Fraser, Dr Hamid Ghodusi, Dr Andy Greenfield, Professor Wendy Harwood, Professor Huw D. Jones, Dr Kimon-Andreas Karatzas, Dr Ray Kemp, Dr Elizabeth Lund, Professor Harry J. McArdle, Dr Lynn McIntyre, Ms Rebecca McKenzie, Professor Clare Mills, Dr Isabel Skypala, Dr Lesley Stanley, Professor Hans Verhagen, Dr Maureen Wakefield, and Professor Bruce Whitelaw.

5. References

Aguilo Aguayo I and Echeverria Cortada G, 2018. Evaluation of the thermal treatment on the puree obtained from the fruit *Synsepalum dulcificum* and summary of activities on trained sensorial panel. Unpublished report, IRTA.

Allergenonline.org. (2019). AllergenOnline. Available at:
<http://www.allergenonline.org>

Andrade AC, Martins MB, Rodrigues JF, Coelho SB, Pinheiro ACM and Bastos SC, 2019. Effect of different quantities of miracle fruit on sour and bitter beverages. LWT, 99, 89–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.09.054>

Bensadon-Naeder L, 2023. In-Silica analysis of cross allergenicity of the miraculin protein using different predictor tools. Unpublished report.

Capitanio A, Lucci G and Tommasi L, 2011. Mixing taste illusions: the effect of miraculin on binary and trinary mixtures. Journal of Sensory Studies, 26, 54–61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-459X.2010.00321>

CERETOX (Centre de Recerca en Toxicologia), 2018a. Study IF-74616: Genotoxicity assay of a lyophilized food on bacterial by Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. Unpublished report.

CERETOX (Centre de Recerca en Toxicologia), 2018b. Study No. IF-74516: genotoxicity study of a novel food: micronucleus test in rats. Unpublished report.

CERETOX (Centre de Recerca en Toxicologia), 2018c. Study No. IF-81517: Acute Oral Toxicity of a novel food by Up-and-Down-Procedure (UDP) (OECD 425) in female Wistar rats. Unpublished report.

CERETOX (Centre de Recerca en Toxicologia), 2018d. Study No. 73416: 90-Day Oral Toxicity Study of a Lyophilized Novel Food in Wistar Rats with a 14-day Recovery Period. Unpublished report.

Charles River, 2020a. Evaluation of the Mutagenic Activity of Dried fruits of *Synsepalum dulcificum* (Miracle Berry Freeze-Dried Powder) in the Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test (Plate Incorporation and Pre-Incubation Methods). Unpublished.

Charles River, 2020b. Dried fruits of *Synsepalum dulcificum* (Miracle Berry Freeze-Dried Powder): A GLP In Vitro Mammalian Cell Micronucleus Test. Unpublished.

Daniell, W.F., On the *Synsepalum dulcificum*, de cand. or, miraculous berry of Western Africa *Pharmaceutical Journal*, 1852. 11(445): p. 445-448.

EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Nutrition and Novel Foods), 2016. Guidance on the Preparation and Presentation of an Application for Authorisation of a Novel Food in the Context of Regulation (EU) 2015/2283. *EFSA Journal* 2016, 14(11): 4594. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4594>.

European Commission, 2020. Commission Regulation (EU) 2020/749 of 4 June 2020 amending Annex III to Regulation (EC) No 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards maximum residue levels for chlorate in or on certain products. *Off. J. Eur. Union*, 63, pp.7-21.

Hudson SD, Sims CA, Odabasi AZ, Colquhoun TA, Snyder DJ, Stamps JJ, Dotson SC, Puentes L and Bartoshuk LM, 2018. Flavor alterations associated with miracle fruit and *gymnema sylvestre*. *Chemical Senses*, 43, 481-488. <https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjy032>

Igarashi G, Higuchi R, Yamazaki T, Ito N, Ashida I and Miyaoka Y, 2013. Differential sweetness of commercial sour liquids elicited by miracle fruit in healthy young adults. *Food Science and Technology International*, 19, 243-249. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1082013212443060>

Kurihara K and Beidler LM, 1968. Taste-modifying protein from miracle fruit. *Science*, 161, 1241-1243. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.161.3847.1241>

Labat, J.B., Voyage du chevalier des Marchais en Guinée, Isles Coisines, et a Cayenne. Fait en 1725, 1726 & 1727. 1730, Paris.

Pedrosa M, 2021. Determination of trypsin inhibitor on dried fruits of *Synsepalum dulcificum*. Unpublished report.

Menéndez-Rey A, 2018. No title. Department of Biotechnology and Plant Biology, Higher Technical School of Agronomic Engineering. Food Science and Biosystems. Unpublished report.

Menéndez-Rey A, Gonzalez-Martos R, Peng Y, Quiroz-Troncoso J, Alegria-Aravena N, Sanchezdiaz M, Maestu-Unturbe C, Bensadon-Naeder L and Ramírez-Castillejo C, 2021. Quantification of lectins in *Synsepalum dulcificum* and comparison with reference foods. *Food Chemistry*, 352, 129341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129341>

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 1997. OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals: Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test 471. Available at: <https://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/risk-assessment/1948418.pdf>

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 1998. OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals: Repeated Dose 90-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents, Test 480. Available online: https://www.oecd.org/env/ehs/testing/E408_1998.PDF

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2008. Test No. 425: Acute Oral Toxicity: Upand-Down Procedure, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264071049-en>

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2016a. Test No. 476: In Vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Tests using the Hprt and xprt genes, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264264809-en>

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2016b. Test No. 474: Mammalian Erythrocyte Micronucleus Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264264762-en>

OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development), 2016c. Test No. 487: In Vitro Mammalian Cell Micronucleus Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing, Paris. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264264861-en>

Rodrigues JF, da Silva Andrade R, Carvalho Bastos S, Braganca Coelho S and Marques Pinheiro AC, 2016. Miracle fruit: an alternative sugar substitute in sour beverages. *Appetite*, 107, 645–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.09.014>

Simpson et al 2024. Patterns and prevalence of adult food allergy (PAFA). FSA research and evidence. <https://doi.org/10.46756/sci.fsa.ehu454>

Tafazoli S, Vo TD, Roberts A, Rodriguez C, Vi~nas R, Madonna ME, Chiang YH, Noronha JW, Holguin JC, Ryder JA and Perlstein A, 2020. Corrigendum to “Safety assessment of miraculin using in silico and in vitro digestibility analyses”. [Food Chem. Toxicol. 133 (2019 Nov) 110762]. Food Chem Toxicol. 2020 Mar;137:111136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2020.111136>

Takai, A., et al., Secretion of miraculin through the function of a signal peptide conserved in the Kunitz-type soybean trypsin inhibitor family. FEBS Lett, 2013. 587(12): p. 1767-72.

Theerasilp S, Hitotsuya H, Nakajo S, Nakaya K, Nakamura Y and Kurihara Y, 1989. Complete amino acid sequence and structure characterization of the taste-modifying protein, miraculin. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 264, 6655–6659.

Valero I M, 2018. Determination of the allergen content in the freeze-dried powder of miracle berry (*Synsepalum dulcificum* D.) using ELISA kits. Unpublished report.

Welham T. and Domoney C, 2000. Plant Science 159,289-299.

Wilken MK and Satiroff BA, 2012. Pilot study of “miracle fruit” to improve food palatability for patients receiving chemotherapy. Clin J Oncol Nurs, 16, E173-E177. <https://doi.org/10.1188/12.CJON.E173-E177>

Wong JM and Kern M, 2011. Miracle fruit improves sweetness of a low-calorie dessert without promoting subsequent energy compensation. Appetite, 56, 163-166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.10.005>

Abbreviations

| | |
|-------|--|
| AA | Aminoanthracene |
| ACNFP | Advisory Committee on Novel Foods and Processes |
| ADME | Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion |
| bw | body weight |
| AOAC | Association of Official Analytical Chemists |

| | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|
| CAS | Chemical Abstracts Service |
| CERETOX | Centre de Recerca en Toxicologia |
| CFU | Colony Forming Unit |
| cGMP | Current Good Manufacturing Practice |
| CMC | Carboxymethylcellulose |
| DMB | Dried Miracle Berries |
| dw | dry weight |
| Dietex | Dietary Exposure |
| EC | European Commission |
| EFSA | European Food Safety Agency |
| ELISA | Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay |
| EU | European Union |
| FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| FSA | Food Standards Agency |
| FSAI | Food Safety Authority Ireland |
| FSS | Food Standards Scotland |

| | |
|----------|---|
| GC-FID | Gas chromatography – flame ionisation detection |
| GLP | Good Laboratory Practice |
| HACCP | Hazards Analysis and Critical Control Points |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid Chromatography |
| ICP | Inductively Coupled Plasma |
| KTi | Kunitz Trypsin inhibitor |
| LC-MS/MS | Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantification |
| LOD | Limit of detection |
| MN | Micronuclei |
| MOE | Margin of Exposure |
| MS | Mass Spectrometry |
| ND | not determined |
| NDA | Dietetic products, Nutrition and Allergies |
| NF | Novel Food |
| NOAEL | No observed adverse effect level |

| | |
|----------|---|
| OECD | Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development |
| PAHs | Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons |
| PCE | Polychromatic Erythrocytes |
| PCR | Polymerase Chain Reaction |
| qH-NMR | Quantitative ¹ H-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| RH | Relative Humidity |
| RNAse | Ribonuclease |
| SDS-PAGE | Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis |
| STI | Soybean Trypsin Inhibitor |
| TIU | Trypsin Inhibitor Unit |
| UFLC | Ultra-Fast Liquid Chromatography |
| UPD | up-and-down |
| USDA | United States Department |
| w/w | weight per weight |